BACKGROUND
A winter storm impacted multiple southern states and more than 90 Georgia counties experienced some form of winter precipitation, beginning February 11\textsuperscript{th} and lasting through the 13\textsuperscript{th}. Northern tier counties recorded snowfalls of up to 13” (Rabun County), and although some timber / tree impacts occurred in this “snow zone,” they were not widespread or considered severe.

During the storm, ice accumulation was measured from between a tenth of an inch and one inch (or possibly higher) in a zone from roughly north metro Atlanta to Augusta in northern Georgia, and from Macon to Sylvania in central Georgia. Because ice is much heavier than snow, widespread tree damage occurred, resulting in power disruption to nearly a million customers.

Governor Deal declared a state of emergency on Monday, February 10\textsuperscript{th}, and a presidential declaration of emergency was issued as the storm hit the state. The map below depicts this zone (Figure 1).

The National Weather Service provided estimates of ice accumulations, and this information, coupled with field observation reports, helped define the area surveyed by the Georgia Forestry Commission for timber impact accounts. Small amounts of ice are known to affect trees, and higher amounts (especially exceeding three-fourths of an inch) can cause serious damage to certain timber types and age classes.

Another factor that affects tree damage is wind. Once ice accumulations peaked, a cold front moved through the state. Although wind speed varied, some areas reported winds of up to 35mph. Even minor winds during ice-loading can break or uproot trees. These occurrences were a major factor in the timber / tree damage associated with this storm, and may account for some of the variability detected.

Figure 1: Counties included in the presidential declaration zone
OBSERVATIONS
A team of Georgia Forestry Commission foresters surveyed the zone believed to have endured the greatest impacts to our forests, and developed the map below. Please note that damage was observed beyond these counties, but it tended to be less intense than those shown by the map’s shaded areas. Some of the highlighted counties had tremendous variations in the amount of damage observed. In addition, timber damage evaluation surveys were separated into rough categories of damage (at the county level), isolated timber stands within counties in the two lesser categories may have severe damage, and stands in the severe counties may only have minor damage. The variability of damage to similar stands even a few miles apart was extreme, so managers should carefully evaluate timber throughout this broad region.

This survey examined landscape-level impacts and classifies them accordingly.

The categories of damage are based upon field observations about:

- Occurrence (frequency) of damage within a county.
- Levels of damage within two types of pine that were most frequently damaged (young pine stands, and pine stands on which a first-thinning had recently occurred.)

Ice Damage Intensity:

Light to moderate damage – Only branches and limbs broken from the tree, with minor damage to the overall stand and trees bent less than 45 degrees. No salvage operation will be necessary and the stand should recover with no additional management requirements, though long term yields will likely be impacted.

Moderate to severe damage – Branches and limbs broken from the trees with damage to the overall stand. More than 25% of stems broken and a salvage operation should be considered to minimize losses and remove trees that likely will not survive.

Severe damage – More than 30% of stems broken, tops broken out across the stand, limbs stripped, and trees bent more than 45 degrees. A salvage operation must be considered and a clearcut may be the prudent management decision.
Ice damage was not detected on most timber types but was concentrated on two types of pine: recently thinned pine stands, and younger stands less than 25 feet in height.

Recently thinned pine stands: These are primarily pine plantations that were thinned for the first time within the past several years. Trees adjust to the amount of space and competition within a stand, and those that have been thinned for the first time are adjusting to reduced protection from neighboring trees and are growing in diameter, which strengthens the main stem. They also respond by accelerating root growth which helps anchor the tree and aids in the increased moisture uptake needed to support larger live crowns. Depending on residual stand-density after thinning, it takes trees about five years to fully respond to the increased growing space. In the meantime, they are more prone to wind (and ice) damage.

These stands were particularly hard hit, which is unfortunate for landowners who have invested 15 to 20-plus years of growth getting their trees to this size. First-thinnings typically remove lower value wood (such as pulpwood / fuel wood), with the objective of allowing the residual stand to produce higher value products (such as sawtimber, plywood, and poles). From an investment standpoint, timber growth following a first thinning maximizes profits, so salvaging an ice-damaged stand is a devastating blow to expected returns.

Photo (left) – Twenty-one year old loblolly stand in Burke County; suffered over 30% stem breakage. Thinning likely occurred two years ago.

Photo (right) – Nineteen year old loblolly stand in Jefferson County; suffered almost 50% stem breakage. Thinning occurred within the past year.
Numerous older pine stands that had been thinned twice (or more) were also examined. Although some had damage, most would be considered minor, with many not requiring a salvage operation. The damage in these stands tended to be uprooted trees rather than stem breakage. This type of wind throw (tree that is completely uprooted) in older stands seemed prevalent throughout the region.

Landowners and managers of storm-damaged stands are highly encouraged to read and understand the implications of ice on different types of stands. Web links which provide detailed guidance are provided on the last page of this document.

**Young pine stands:** Pine plantations (of most species) that were 25 feet and taller - and had never been thinned - seemed to weather this ice storm well. The ability of dense stands to provide tree-to-tree support and prevent winds from uprooting individual trees was a big factor in these stands’ withstanding minimal damage. Younger (and shorter) stands, however, didn’t fare as well. One of the critical factors seemed to be that the trees still had many live branches almost to ground level, which likely accumulated so much ice that breaking points were reached for limbs and main stems.

Young stands of about six feet in height also seemed to fare well. Some of these have many bent stems (with some breakage), but young trees tend to correct this problem.

Some younger loblolly stands were damaged (especially in the counties noted as “Severe” on the map on page 2), but more damage occurred on longleaf and slash pine. Longleaf stands suffered the worst damage with stem and limb breakage but no stands seen were completely leveled. The resiliency of nature can be surprising, and the fate of these stands will become evident over the next few years. When tops break out, a lateral branch will assume dominance and there will be variation in long-term stem straightness.

Careful examination will be needed to determine the amount of permanent problems this storm has inflicted on each stand. Re-evaluation after the next growing season should give managers a better perspective on what lies ahead.
EXTENT OF DAMAGE
GFC foresters evaluated the counties noted on the previous map and developed estimates of damage based upon a combination of this field work combined with a geospatial analysis of this region. These estimates do not include areas outside this zone, nor do they include hardwood, which was also impacted. Most hardwood damage consisted of limb and top breakage with most trees retaining enough live branches to support survival. Damage can be expected in the growth form of these trees and possibly in sluggish growth rates.

For pine type timber, an estimated 70,000+ acres were impacted, valued in excess of $65 million. The majority of these acres (61,000+) were in the recently thinned pine category. This estimate doesn’t include damage outside of the zone shown on the map (page 2), and it does not account for hardwood damage acreages or values, so it should be considered conservative. Some of the merchantable pine will likely be salvaged, which could reduce the damage estimate somewhat. However, the values used were based upon landowners intending to grow these stands for at least 30 years, with the growing objective of solid wood products (sawtimber, plywood, and poles). So even if salvage occurs, part of the “loss” is in the future growth of these higher value products.

RECOMMENDATIONS
With the wide range of damage inflicted by this ice storm, there will likely be three distinct categories by which landowners make their evaluations:

1) Light damage or losses that may not warrant a salvage operation. This could include merchantable stands (trees are large enough to sell), which simply don’t have enough timber damage to warrant a commercial harvest, or pre-merchantable stands where there is a good chance they will recover over time.

2) Stands with significant damage, mandating a salvage operation to recoup whatever value can be obtained from the stand. This might include a complete harvest for widespread damage, or a partial harvest of damaged timber to provide a commercial harvest.

3) Situations falling between the two scenarios above, in which a good bit of the timber is damaged but there might be enough timber to leave growing. In these cases, landowners are encouraged to use the services of a professional forester to help make the best decision for the situation. Immediately following a storm, it is difficult for landowners to accurately gauge how well a stand may recover, or to measure the amount of timber that could be allowed to remain for future growth and income.

For landowners facing a complete harvest to salvage their damaged timber, please consider reforesting the area. The Farm Service Agency has a cost share program that can assist with site preparation and planting costs called the Emergency Forest Restoration Program (EFRP). Apply at your local office.

Special thanks to other GFC foresters who helped develop this information:
Jeff Kastle, Chris Thompson, Chris Howell, Chris Barnes, Jeremy Hughes and Charles Bailey
URBAN TREE ASSESSMENTS

Georgia Forestry Commission certified arborist/foresters surveyed damage and storm-generated tree debris left to be removed from urban and rural communities. Survey results showed counties that experienced the most damage to their rural stands also suffered the most damage to their urban trees. The highest amount of damage, as one might expect, was found in Burke County.

Neighborhoods with large pine trees experienced the most loss, with the bulk of damage to branches and tree tops which were broken by the weight of ice. Additionally, "leaf on" trees, such as magnolia and cherry laurel, and old water oaks with structural issues, made up a large component of community forest tree failure. Crews observed very few trees that were completely destroyed or uprooted by the storm.

Much debris remains to be cut and stacked by homeowners and tree care companies before its removal from community rights-of-way can begin. Many trees that have lost more than 50% of their limbs, and trees that have been uprooted or split so that heartwood of the main trunk is evident, will need to be removed. Otherwise, impacted trees will require pruning, with particular attention being paid to higher risk trees with “hangers” (limbs broken, but not yet detached) and split limbs (see photo below). This will likely increase beyond initial assessments the total biomass that will eventually be collected.

Although the tree at left suffered minor ice damage, notice the branches that are broken and still hanging in the tree. These could impact the structure, the vehicle or humans. These “hangers” should be removed.

The pine tree at right lost half of the living portion of its crown and pruning is needed to remove branch stubs.

Special thanks to GFC foresters who helped with field work: Gary White, Joe Burgess, Joan Scales, Mark McClellan, Jeremy Hughes, Keith Murphy, Chris Howell and also Mark Millirons.
These resources can help forest landowners learn more about options and considerations for situations in which trees have been damaged by winter weather:

**TIMBERLAND WIND / ICE DAMAGE:**
How to Evaluate and Manage Storm-Damaged Forest Areas:
http://www.fs.fed.us/r8/foresthealth/pubs/storm_damage/contents.html

Evaluating wind / ice damage stands:
http://www.forestry.uga.edu/outreach/pubs/pdf/forestry/assessing_tornado_damaged_forest_stands_5-30-08_1.pdf

Wind Wood Utilization (this has numerous documents and links that are beneficial):
http://www.windwoodutilization.org/salvage.asp

**URBAN AND HAZARD TREE SAFETY:**

Excellent site for Storm Damage…with an Urban Forestry angle:
http://hort.ifas.ufl.edu/treesandhurricanes/

**TAXES:**
National Timber Tax website (Master Index has good list of subject areas):
http://www.timbertax.org/

**TIMBER SALES:**
General information:
http://www.gatrees.org/forest-management/private-forest-management/timber-selling/

Landowners are encouraged to utilize professional foresters and arborists to help with decisions about timber management or potentially hazardous trees around homes and urban environments. Seeking independent advice is a sound way to reduce hasty judgments and insure all available options are considered.